FOREWORD

This is the 26th annual progress report of the California Department of Water Resources' San Francisco Bay-Delta Evaluation Program, which is carried out by the Delta Modeling Section. This report is submitted annually by the Section to the California State Water Resources Control Board pursuant to its Water Right Decision 1485, Term 9, which is still active pursuant to its Water Right Decision 1641, Term 8.

It documents progress in the development and enhancement of the Bay-Delta Office's Delta Modeling Section's and Division of Environmental Service's Suisun Marsh Planning Section's computer models and reports the latest findings of studies conducted as part of the program. This report was compiled by Michael Mierzwa, with assistance from Jane Schafer-Kramer and Marilee Talley, under the direction of Bob Suits, Senior Engineer, and Tara Smith, program manager for the Bay-Delta Evaluation Program.

Online versions of previous annual progress reports are available at:

http://baydeltaoffice.water.ca.gov/modeling/deltamodeling/annualreports.cfm

For more information contact:

Tara Smith tara@water.ca.gov (916) 653-9885

-or-

Michael Mierzwa mmierzwa@water.ca.gov (916) 653-9794

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	FOREWORD	iii
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2	USING DYE-INJECTION STUDY TO REVISE DSM2-SJR	
	GEOMETRY	
2.1	Introduction	
2.2	Background	
2.3	DSM2 Simulation of Dye-Tracer Studies	
2.4	Irregular Cross Section Investigation and Adjustments	
2.5	Manning's <i>n</i> Adjustment	
2.6 2.7	General Effect on EC and Flow	
2. <i>1</i> 2.8	SummaryReferences	
_		
3	JONES TRACT 2004 LEVEE BREAK DSM2 SIMULATION	
3.1	Introduction	
3.2	Conditions in the Delta Prior to the Levee Break	-
3.3	Timeline of Jones Tract 2004 Events	
3.4 3.4.1	Representation of Jones Tract in DSM2	
3.4.1	Jones Tract as a Flooded Island	
3.4.2	Jones Tract Pump-Off	
3. 5	DSM2 Scenarios	
3.6	Hydrodynamic Modeling	
3.6.1	Geometry	
3.6.2	Hydrology	
3.6.3	Hydrodynamic Results	
3.7	Water Quality Modeling	
3.7.1	Boundary Conditions and Organic Carbon Growth Rates	3-14
3.7.2	Water Quality Results	
3.8	Fingerprinting for Jones Tract	
3.9	Discussion	
3.10	Future Directions	
3.11	References	3-27
4	SENSITIVITY OF DSM2 TEMPERATURE SIMULATIONS TO	
	TIME STEP SIZE	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Methodology	
4.3	Simulation Results	4-2
4.4	Daily-Averaged Data	4-6
4.5	Computer Time	4-8
4.6	Conclusions	
4.7	References	4-9

5	ESTIMATION OF ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY AT MARTINEZ	
	FOR SEA LEVEL RISE CONDITIONS	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	DSM2 Downstream Boundary	5-2
5.3	Estimating Martinez EC for SLR Using the Modified G-model	5-3
5.4	Estimating Martinez EC for 1-foot SLR Using an EC Regression	
	Relationship	5-4
5.4.1	Multi-Dimensional Modeling with RMA-2 and RMA-11	
5.4.2	EC Regression Relationship	5-7
5.4.3	DSM2 Martinez EC Boundary Condition for 1-foot SLR	5-8
5.5	Example of DSM2 Results for 1-foot SLR	
5.6	Summary	5-12
5.7	References	5-14
6	FINGERPRINTING: CLARIFICATIONS AND RECENT APPLICATIONS	6-1
6.1	Introduction	
6.2	Fingerprinting Overview	
6.3	Clarification on Types of Fingerprinting	
6.3.1	Volumetric and Constituent Fingerprinting	
6.3.2	Timed Fingerprinting	
6.3.3	Fingerprinting Example	
6.4	Recent Applications	
6.4.1	Fingerprinting Reports for MWQI Real Time Data Forecast Reports	
6.4.2	Fingerprint of the San Joaquin River at Vernalis	6-13
6.4.3	Using DSM2 Fingerprints to Check Carbon Dating Studies	6-16
6.4.4	Improve Understanding of Delta Flows and Water Quality for SDIP Studies	
6.4.5	Using Volumetric Fingerprints to Develop DOC Constraints in CalSim	
6.5	Summary	
6.6	References	6-18

TABLES

Table 3.1:	Estimated DSM2 Timeline of Jones Tract 2004 Events	3-2
Table 3.2:	Jones Tract Organic Carbon Growth Rates (gC/m²/day)	3-5
Table 3.3:	Summary of DSM2 Jones Tract Scenarios	3-7
Table 4.1:	DSM2-QUAL Run Time vs. Time Step Size for 4.4 Years of Simulation of	
	Temperature Plus 10 Other Constituents	4-8
Table 5.1:	Summary of Estimation of Sea Level Rise Based EC Techniques	5-13
Table 6.1:	DSM2 Semi-Steady State Scenario Boundary Conditions	6-5
Table 6.2:	Fingerprinting Boundary Conditions for Semi-Steady State Scenarios	6-5
Table 6.3:	Fingerprinting Results at Urban Intakes for a Dry Spring Semi-Steady	
	State Scenario with the Delta Cross Channel Gates Closed	6-7
Table 6.4:	Fingerprinting Results at Urban Intakes for a Dry Spring Semi-Steady	
	State Scenario with the Delta Cross Channel Gates Open	6-7

FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Locations of DSM2 Injection Sites to Simulate February and June 1994	2.2
Figure 2.2:	Dye-Injection Studies Original DSM2-SJR Modeled EC along the San Joaquin River during	2-2
riguie z.z.	Simulation of Dye-Injection Study in February of 1994 (Model Travel	
	Time is 50 Hours Compared to Observed 38 Hours)	2-3
Figure 2.3:	Original DSM2-SJR Modeled EC along the San Joaquin River during	2
1 1gu10 2.0.	Simulation of Dye-Injection Study in June of 1994 (Model Travel Time is	
	74 Hours Compared to Observed 106 Hours)	2-3
Figure 2.4:	Irregular Cross Section with a Thickness of 1,000 Feet and a	2
ga.o	Misrepresented Width of Approximately of 700 feet at Channel Location	
	625_1	2-4
Figure 2.5:	Irregular Cross Section with a Thickness of 300 Feet and a More	
9	Representative Width of Approximately 180 Feet at Channel Location	
	625_1	2-5
Figure 2.6:	Thalwegs Constructed from the Irregular Cross Sections between the	
	Stanislaus River Confluence and the Tuolumne River Confluence	2-6
Figure 2.7:	Irregular Cross Section along the San Joaquin River at a Distance of	
	19,330 feet upstream of the Stanislaus River Confluence (Here the	
	Bottom Elevation Was Lowered from 11.5 Feet to 8.5 Feet MSL)	2-6
Figure 2.8:	Travel Time in February with Final Adjustments to the Irregular Cross	
	Sections	2-7
Figure 2.9:	Travel Time in June with Final Adjustments to the Irregular Cross	
ga. o		2-7
Figure 2.10:	New Manning's <i>n</i> Values Used in DSM2-SJR	
Figure 2.11:	Travel Time in February with Final Adjustments to the Grid and	
	Manning's n Values	2-9
Figure 2.12:	Travel Time in June with Final Adjustments to the Grid and Manning's <i>n</i>	
9	Values	2-9
Figure 2.13:	Example of Modeled EC at Vernalis before the Modifications	
9	(OLD_GRID) vs. after the Modifications (NEW_GRID)	2-10
Figure 2.14:	Example of Modeled Flow at Vernalis before the Modifications	
9	(OLD_GRID) vs. after the Modifications (NEW_GRID)	2-10
Figure 3.1:	Selected Net Delta Outflows by Year and Water Year Type	
Figure 3.2:	Location of Lower and Upper Jones Tracts	
Figure 3.3:	Example Jones Tract Configuration in a DSM2 Input File	
Figure 3.4:	Example Jones Tract Levee Breach in a DSM2 Input File	
Figure 3.5:	Estimated Jones Tract Pump-Off Flowrate Used in DSM2 Simulation of	
-	Historical 2004 Conditions	
Figure 3.6:	Example of Jones Tract Pump-Off in a DSM2 Input File	3-7
Figure 3.7:	Sacramento River 2004 Daily Average Historical Flows	3-8
Figure 3.8:	Yolo Bypass 2004 Daily Average Historical Flows	3-9
Figure 3.9:	San Joaquin River 2004 Daily Average Historical Flows	3-9
Figure 3.10:	DSM2 and Observed Old River at Rock Slough and Middle River near	
-	Tracy Blvd. Daily Maximum and Minimum Stage	3-10
Figure 3.11:	DSM2 and Observed Old River at the Los Vaqueros Reservoir Intake	
-	and Middle River at Santa Fe Cut Daily Average Flows	3-11
Figure 3.12:	DSM2 Stage inside Jones Tract While Jones Tract Filled	
Figure 3.13:	DSM2 Flows In (Positive) and Out (Negative) of Jones Tract While Jones	
-	Tract Filled	
Figure 3.14:	DSM2 Flows In and Out of Jones Tract in June 2004	3-13
Figure 3.15:	DSM2 15-minute Stage inside Jones Tract and on the Middle River near	
	Santa Fe Cut in June 2004	3-14

Figure 3.16:	DSM2 Dissolved Organic Carbon Boundary Conditions	
Figure 3.17:	DSM2 and Observed Delta In-channel EC in 2004	3-16
Figure 3.18:	DSM2 and Observed Delta In-channel EC during the Jones Tract Flooding and Levee Repair Periods	3-17
Figure 3.19:	DSM2 and Observed Electrical Conductivity in Flooded Jones Tract in	0 17
	2004	3-18
Figure 3.20:	DSM2 and Observed Dissolved Organic Carbon in Flooded Jones Tract	0.40
Figure 3.21:	in 2004 DSM2 and Observed Electrical Conductivity at Clifton Court in 2004	3-19
Figure 3.21.	DSM2 and Observed Electrical Conductivity at Clifton Court in 2004 DSM2 and Observed Electrical Conductivity at Clifton Court after Jones	3-20
1 igule 3.22.	Tract Flooded in 2004	3-20
Figure 3.23:	DSM2 and Observed Dissolved Organic Carbon at Clifton Court in 2004	
Figure 3.24:	DSM2 and Observed Dissolved Organic Carbon at Clifton Court after	
	Jones Tract Flooded in 2004	
Figure 3.25:	Clifton Court 2004 Volumetric Fingerprint	3-23
Figure 4.1:	Hourly Averaged DSM2-Simulated Temperature in San Joaquin River at	
	Rough and Ready Island Using a 15-Minute Time Step	4-2
Figure 4.2:	Water Temperature in San Joaquin River at Rough and Ready Island,	4-3
Figure 4.2:	1996–1998	4-3
Figure 4.3:		4-3
Figure 4.4:	1999–2000 Difference in Simulated Hourly Averaged Temperature in San Joaquin	4-3
i iguie 4.4.	River at Rough and Ready Island Using 5- and 15-Minute Time Steps	4-4
Figure 4.5:	Difference in Simulated Hourly Averaged Temperature in San Joaquin	
rigure 4.5.	River at Rough and Ready Island Using 15- and 30-Minute Time Steps	4-4
Figure 4.6:	Sensitivity of Simulated Hourly Averaged Temperature in San Joaquin	
riguio i.o.	River at Rough and Ready Island Using 5-, 15-, and 30-Minute Time	
	Steps, Jan and Feb 1998	4-5
Figure 4.7:	Sensitivity of Simulated Hourly Averaged Temperature in San Joaquin	0
riguio iii.	River at Rough and Ready Island Using 5-, 15-, and 30-Minute Time	
	Steps, Feb 2000	4-5
Figure 4.8:	Difference in Simulated Hourly Averaged Temperature in San Joaquin	
3 · · ·	River at Rough and Ready Island Using 15- and 60-Minute Time Steps	4-6
Figure 4.9:	Daily Averaged DSM2 Temperature in San Joaquin River at Rough and	
J	Ready Island Using 5- and 15-Minute Time Steps	4-7
Figure 4.10:	Daily Averaged DSM2 Temperature in San Joaquin River at Rough and	
_	Ready Island Using 15- and 30-Minute Time Steps	4-7
Figure 5.1:	Historical Annual Mean Sea Level at Golden Gate, 1900–2003	5-1
Figure 5.2:	Map of San Francisco Bay and the Delta with RMA and DSM2 Model	
	Boundaries	5-2
Figure 5.3:	Modeling Methods for Simulating Sea Level Rise in the Delta	5-3
Figure 5.4:	Multi-Dimensional Modeling Methodology for Simulated SLR in the Delta	5-5
Figure 5.5:	Multi-Dimensional RMA Modeling to Develop 1-foot SLR EC Relationship	F 6
Figure 5.6:	at MartinezSacramento and San Joaquin River Inflows for 1992	
Figure 5.7:	Regression Relationship for EC at Martinez for 1-foot Sea Level Rise	
Figure 5.7:	Determining Martinez EC for 1-foot SLR from a Regression Equation	
Figure 5.9:	Monthly Average Simulated EC at Antioch for 1-foot SLR Scenarios	
Figure 5.10:	Difference in Monthly Average Simulated EC at Antioch for 1-foot SLR	0 11
g 0 0.	Scenarios	5-11
Figure 5.11:	16-year Monthly Average Simulated EC at Antioch for 1-foot SLR	
g	Scenarios	5-12
Figure 6.1:	Volumetric and Constituent Fingerprinting Conceptualizations	
Figure 6.2:	Timed Fingerprinting Conceptualizations	

Figure 6.3:	Delta Urban Intake Locations	6-6
Figure 6.4:	Water and EC Contributions at Urban Intakes with Delta Cross Channel	
J	Closed for a Semi-Steady State Dry Spring Condition	6-8
Figure 6.5:	Water and EC Contributions at Urban Intakes with Delta Cross Channel	
-	Open for a Semi-Steady State Dry Spring Condition	6-9
Figure 6.6:	Fingerprinting Results for MWQI Real Time Data Forecasting Report	
-	May 2005	6-12
Figure 6.7:	DSM2-SJR Generated Volumetric Fingerprint at Vernalis Indicating the	
-	Relative Flow Contribution from Grouped Sources	6-14
Figure 6.8:	DSM2-SJR Generated Average Monthly Volumetric Source Contribution	
-	at Vernalis over the January 1990 - September 1999 Simulation Period	6-14
Figure 6.9:	DSM2-SJR Generated Constituent Fingerprint at Vernalis Indicating the	
J	Relative Load Contribution from Grouped Sources	6-15
Figure 6.10:	DSM2-SJR Generated Average Monthly Percent Contribution of Salinity	
J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6-15